UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,404	10/22/2004	Tsuyoshi Kashima	885A.0002.U1(US)	4456
29683 HARRINGTON	590 06/01/2009 & SMITH. PC		EXAMINER	
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE, Suite 202		BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M	
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/500,404	KASHIMA, TSUYOSHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHRISTOPHER M. BRANDT	2617	

	CHRISTOFFIER W. BRANDT	2017	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further co		ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	**		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		cied ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpilant Americanient (i	10L-32+).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii subiriitted iii a separate, i	intery filed afficitation	it carroening the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,13-16 and 18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/George Eng/	/Christopher M Brandt/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	Examiner, Art Unit 2617		

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. With regard to applicant's argument regarding the USC 112, first paragraph rejection, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The cited portion by applicant is the cited portion the examiner used in forming the USC 112, first paragraph rejection. If applicant wishes to overcome the rejection, the examiner suggests using the language in the specification for the claims in order to be consistent. In addition, hard handover is also not found in the specification. Yes, one of ordinary skill in the art is aware of a hard handover, however, amendments to the claims must be consistent and taken from the specification. The amendment, "the specified node being selected by the mobile node" requires further consideration and search as the cited references do not explicitly teach this feature.

Chris Brandt Art Unit 2617 05/28/2009